

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/644,654		08/20/2003	Lynn P. Tessier	1984-2-3	5430		
	996	996 7590 08/02/2005			EXAMINER		
		, JACKSON, HALEY	ROSENBAUM, MARK				
	155 - 108TH AVENUE NE SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	BELLEVIJE WA 98004-5901			3725			

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	0.55	10/644,65	54	TESSIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mark Rose		3725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no evo reply within the state riod will apply and wi atute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2005.							
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
5)⊠	Claim(s) 22-30 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 31-36</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	id/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)	D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		·				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docum Certified copies of the priority docum Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur	ents have bee ents have bee priority docume	n received. n received in Application	on No				
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certif	fied copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	t(s)	•		•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	••	6) Other:	·				

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22-30 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20,31-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Note that Hise et al clearly shows the use of an enclosed channel on a rotor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-20,31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German '895 in view of Hise et al. Figures 1 and 3 of the German publication show a throwing wheel and oppositely rotating impact surfaces cooperating to crush material thrown by the rotor. The rotor does not use enclosed channels which results in uneven material flow and resultant problems. Hise et al solves this problem by disclosing similar apparatus and process including the use of a rotor having enclosed channels. In order to promote even material flow, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify German '895 by enclosing the channels on the rotor, taught to be desirable by Hise et al. The remaining limitations would then have been obvious design choices as they solve no stated problems, and applicant has not argued their patentability except to state they depend on their independent claims which applicant believes to be allowable.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/644,654

Art Unit: 3725

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,654

Art Unit: 3725

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Rosenbaum **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3725

MR